novæ res urbis

GREATER TORONTO AREA EDITION

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2014

Vol. 17 · No. 33

Different views of Hamilton proposal

ESCARPMENT IMPACT

By Edward LaRusic

The **Niagara Escarpment Commission** says that a new development in Hamilton will have too big a visual impact on the Niagara Escarpment, raising questions about what visual impact is acceptable on one of Ontario's most significant landforms.

Fothergill Planning & Development Inc. president Ed Fothergill said the proposal by Vetco Development Corporation—who he represents—is on a "perfect infill site." Located at 467 Charlton Avenue East, Fothergill notes that the as-of-right zoning by-law allows for a four-storey industrial building. He added that a single, long four-storey building would have a greater visual impact on views than his client's proposal. Therefore, the proposal for three mid-rise buildings—each separated by a minimum of 35 metres—is a good compromise between intensification and limiting the impact on views to the escarpment.

"The six storeys represent minor intensification. It's on the low-end of high density, about 138 units per hectare. High density (in Hamilton) goes up to 200 units per hectare. It's not an overly intensive development. And six storeys in three buildings allow views through to the escarpment."

Caledon mayoral race

MANAGING GROWTH

By Leah Wong

With Caledon mayor **Marilyn Morrison** not seeking reelection this year, the town is getting ready for a change in leadership.

To date two candidates have registered to run in the race for mayor, former Ward 1 regional councillor **Ian Sinclair** and Ward 2 regional councillor **Allan Thompson**. Both candidates have served as local and regional councillors, though never at the same time. Thompson has been in office since 2003 and Sinclair served from 1994-2003.

The incoming council will continue to deal with Caledon's expected growth. **Statistics Canada**'s 2011 census said Caledon's population was 59,460. Population forecasts have the town growing to 87,000 by 2021 and 108,000 by 2031.

A related challenge is the split between urban and rural lands with 80 per cent of the town located in the Greenbelt. This will continue to help define the shape and character of the town, as its townships and villages accommodate future growth. Very different from neighbouring municipalities, many residents fear the loss of their unique qualities.

"A lot of people are fearful that we're going to be another Brampton or Mississauga," Thompson

CONTINUED PAGE 4

INSIDE

Tax time

Comparing business property tax rates

p2 >

Tourism attraction

Badlands needs parking solution

p 3 >

Breakfast is served

OMB approves Collingwood B&B

p6>

OUR LEGAL TEAM.

YOUR SUCCESS.

- Planning
- Development
- Municipal
- Real property
- Finance
- Infrastructure
- PPP
- Litigation
- Environmental

Jim Harbell
iharbell@stikeman.com

Calvin Lantz

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT LLP | www.stikeman.com

ESCARPMENT IMPACT

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

The rezoning changes the existing industrial land use to residential, allowing three mid-rise buildings: one five and two six storey buildings.

Fothergill said that because the proposed development is in the Niagara Escarpment, his client retained **EDA Collaborative Inc.** to do a detailed study of what would constitute an acceptable visual impact on the Niagara Escarpment.

"The [Niagara Escarpment Plan] says [development] has to be compatible...It anticipates there will or could be an impact."

Fothergill said EDA Collaborative—which looked at three six-storey buildings rather than two six and one five-storey buildings—assessed the development from over two dozen viewpoints. It rated the impact based on how much of the escarpment is visible, what is visible and how much would be taken up by the proposal.

"[EDA Collaborative] did a very detailed visual assessment and concluded that the worst case in the worst possible location was maybe a moderate impact. All the others are low. We took it to the [Niagara Escarpment Commission] and it said no, we don't like it, it's unacceptable, without telling us which part of the analysis was flawed."

Fothergill said the Niagara Escarpment Commission wanted the development changed such that no part of the building was visible in the summer. However, city staff agreed with EDA Collaborative's assessment. It asked for a modification, which his client agreed to.

"As [staff] was writing the report, a few weeks before the public meeting, it decided we should take one floor off one building. Which was a total surprise to us. There was no analysis; they just said 'we think one building is too high.' And that's the way it was approved by council."

Hamilton planning director **Steve Robichaud** said that staff asked for one of the building to be reduced to five-storeys for two reasons.

"First, based on staff's assessment of the visual impact of that third building at six storeys, the reduction of the building height from six storeys to five storeys addressed staff's concerns about the visual impact of that building. [Second,] reducing the height of the building and reducing the number of [residential] units, it also responded to staff's concerns about the amount of parking on site, and how it would be laid out."

Robichaud said the original proposal had parking partially



Location of proposed Vetco Development proposal

SOURCE: CITY OF HAMILTON

located on the road allowance. This was redressed by making one of the buildings five storeys. He added that the revised proposal represents the best balance of the city's policy objectives.

"Staff was satisfied that those two six-storeys and a fivestorey building would maintain important views of the escarpment, allow for the redevelopment of a brownfield site within the urban area and provide for intensification opportunities within the city."

Niagara Escarpment Commission planner Martin Kilian told *NRU* that while staff does not question the veracity of the visual assessment study that was prepared in support of the Vetco application, it came to a different conclusion.

"The policies of the *Niagara Escarpment Plan* speak to about minimizing visual impact, even in urban environments. [Commission staff] came to a conclusion that there was too much building to be able to protect the views of the escarpment on the landscape."

Kilian said staff attempted to negotiate, unsuccessfully, with Vetco for a revised proposal with two four-storey buildings and the possibility of a five-storey with a stepped-back upper storey.

"The difference [between the Vetco and the escarpment commission staff proposals] is that in a lot of these viewing areas, bringing these buildings to a lower level CONTINUED PAGE 7

Barrie candidates

Ram Faerber Zachary Gillespie-Rogers Jeff Lehman

Councillors Ward 1 **Bonnie Ainsworth** Clare Riepma

Ward 2 Austin Tyler Genge Steve Jones James McVeigh

Lynn Strachan withdrawn

Ward 3 Doug Shipley Ross Wuerth

Ward 4 Adrian Bowles Caroline Smith Justin Heran **Barry Ward**

Ward 5 Harry Ahmed Yvonne Heath Mike Montague Peter Silveira

Ward 6 James Charboneau Michael Prowse

Ward 7 John Brassard Ward 8 Michael Hardie Arif Khan

Ward 9 **Brian Jackson** Jason MacLellan Sergio Morales

Ward 10 Chris Forde Doug Jure Mike McCann (Note: incumbent names are bolded; italics indicate candidates who have registered since NRU last ran the table)

ESCARPMENT IMPACT

as we were recommending would allow the view of the brow of the escarpment—the upper slope—to be maintained. Above the roofs of the buildings you would still see the escarpment and make out its continuous form. You couldn't really do that in most of those views by keeping the height that [Vetco] recommended."

While the land is within the Niagara Escarpment, it does not require the consent of the Niagara Escarpment Commission as it lies within the Hamilton urban area. Kilian said that while staff has heard that Hamilton council approved the Vetco Development at its August 15 meeting, the commission hasn't received formal notice.

"Once we get formal notice, we will, with our commission, review the decision that council made, the reports that were presented to council, and then bring before our commission the question of whether it wishes to appeal that city decision to the Ontario Municipal Board or not."

With more than 1,400 member companies, BILD is the voice of land development, home building and professional renovation in the Greater GTA



For membership inquiries 416-391-5785

www.bildgta.ca



Ian A.R. Graham, Publisher ang@nrupublishing.com

Lynn Morrow Editor lynnm@nrupublishing.com

Sarah Ratchford Municipal Affairs Reporter sarahr@nrupublishing.com

Edward LaRusic

Planning Reporter 3dward@nrupublishing.com

Megan Kevill

Planning Researcher megank@nrupublishing.com

Jeff Payette, Design/Layout jeffp@nrupublishing.com

Sales and Circulation irenak@nrupubiishing.com

Twitter @NRUpublishing

SALES/SUBSCRIPTIONS

circ@nrupublishing.com

Annual subscription rate is \$369 +HST (ON).

Complimentary trial subscriptions are available.

Advertising rates available upon request

NRU Greater Toronto Area Edition is not to be redistributed without the written consent of the publisher

NRU Greater Toronto Area Edition is published 50 times a year by email by NRU Publishing Inc.

NRU Publishing Inc. Editorial Office 26 Soho Street, Suite 330 Toronto, ON M5T 1Z7

Tel: 416.260.1304 Fax: 416,979 2707

Billings Department 34B McMurrich Street Toronto, ON M5R 2A2 Tel: 416.440.0073 Fax: 416.440.0074

